

ORIGINAL PATENT APPLICATION BASED ON:

Docket: 81639RLO
Inventor(s): Gustavo R. Paz-Pujalt
John P. Spoonhower

Attorney: Raymond L. Owens

DETECTING MATERIAL FAILURES IN GROUND LOCATIONS

EXPRESS MAIL LABEL NO.: EL485199988US

Date of Mailing: 9.28.00

008260 1822360

DETECTING MATERIAL FAILURES IN GROUND LOCATIONS

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to remotely detecting material failures in a ground location by using an image sensor.

5 **BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

The surveillance of ground topography is well known in the art. It is frequently the case that an aircraft or a satellite includes an image capture device such as a CCD. In ground surveillance it is highly desirable to detect whether there has been a material failure in a man-made object such as a road, a
10 pipeline, an electrical grid, or other man-made structures of practical interest. When detected, a determination is made if remedial action must be taken. Often times a visual inspection of ground topography is provided by a land-based crew that traverses an area by vehicle or foot, to determine if there is a material failure. Airborne photographic systems can also be used for capturing images of adjacent
15 areas. These images are then reviewed to determine if there is a material failure.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

It is an object of the present invention to provide an improved way to automatically determine if there is a material failure in a man-made structure.

This object is achieved by a method for capturing images of ground
20 locations and for detecting the presence of material failures in man-made structures in such ground locations comprising the steps of:

- (a) providing an image sensor spaced remotely from the ground and which sequentially captures a number of images of various ground locations to provide digital images;
- 25 (b) processing captured digital images to determine the presence of a potential material failure in a man-made structure in accordance with predetermined coordinate positions which locate the man-made structures in one or more of the captured digital images; and
- (c) indicating to a customer that a potential material failure has
30 been detected in a predetermined coordinate position.

In many cases it is required to inspect man-made structures frequently in order to determine the likelihood or development of potential material failures. In many cases these inspections are done by a ground site survey; individuals visit these locations and take measurements or other form of data on-sight. This process becomes cumbersome, costly, inconvenient, and in many cases unreliable and unsafe due to the dangers present in remote locations and to potential false interpretations due to worker fatigue and other factors. Furthermore, remote locations are frequently in mountains, deserts and forests that are difficult to reach and frequent inspection requires the placement of permanent maintenance and inspection crews adding to overall costs. It is an advantage of the present invention to provide a more effective way of determining material failures in man-made structures by automatically processing images captured from a remote platform. This automatic processing can include comparing with previously detected images. This automatic processing can also include algorithms and expert systems that act in a predictive manner.

A feature of the present invention is that a chemical agent or a chemical change associated with a material failure can be used in a man-made structure of practical interest that is particularly suitable for detection after a material failure.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 depicts a system for capturing images from an airborne or a satellite platform in accordance with the present invention;

FIG. 2 is a flowchart in block diagram form of the process of capturing and processing images to detect potential material failures in man-made structures;

FIG. 3 is a flowchart in block diagram form of an image processing algorithm which can be used in the system shown in FIG. 1;

FIG. 4 illustrates a somewhat different embodiment of the present invention that uses a chemical agent to aid in detecting potential material failures in a man-made object; and

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

5 A sensor system 1 employed in the capturing of images in order to identify material failures in man-made structures may be mounted on either an aerial or a satellite platform. Images of the ground containing various man-made structures such as roadways, pipelines, electrical power lines, agricultural, mining, real estate activity and the like are captured by this sensor system 1. The term
10 "man-made structure" can also include other human activities such as insecticide spraying which after application can be detected by sensor system 1. In such a case, an additive can be included in the insecticide spray that can be detected by the image sensor. Sequential images may be captured in digital form and either stored in the aerial or satellite platform to be transferred later or transmitted via a
15 radio link to a control ground station. The capture device 2 includes an electronic sensor, typically a CCD or CMOS imaging array that along with some imaging optics captures a picture of the scene in electronic form. In some instances a special optical filter 3 is attached to the input to the CCD or CMOS detector to filter the light wavelengths which are incident upon the detector. This optical
20 filter 3 is chosen so as to maximize the signal-to-noise ratio for the detection of a specific type of material failure. Alternatively, the ground location image can be captured by conventional photographic cameras. Film images would then have to be converted to digital images by an image scanner that includes an image sensor. The system 1 also has an image capture control circuit 4 that sequences the
25 operation of the capture device 2. As will be clear from FIG. 1, the operation of the various elements shown in system 1 are under the control of a control computer 31. The image capture control circuit 4 controls capture device 2 and sends position and orientation information to a position and orientation storage circuit 5 with each captured image. Position information in the form of spatial
30 coordinates is provided by the customer in order to identify the location of man-made structures of interest. Such position information is also stored in position

and orientation storage circuit 5. Position and orientation data are used along with predetermined coordinate positions to locate the man-made structures in the captured image. Control computer 31 causes image data to be stored in image storage 6 and can be processed to identify features of a scene in image processing circuit 7. The processing sequence is also directed by control computer 31 of the image data in this instance is to enhance the capability of the system 1 to identify material failures in man-made structures. The image processing circuit 7 includes a storage memory (not shown) that includes a representation of different material failures to be detected and comparing the captured digital image with the material failures to determine the presence of a material failure, type of material failures and location of the material failures. With the exception of the capture device 2, the various elements of the system 1 may be located either in the remote platform or at the ground station location. Moreover, many of the elements described can be embodied in software which can be understood to be within the control computer 31. The capture device 2 is located in either the aerial or satellite platform or a fixed structure spaced above the ground.

The overall process for detecting material failures in man-made structures is depicted in flowchart form in FIG. 2. The flowchart is in block diagram form and those skilled in the art will appreciate that many of the functions are controlled by the control computer 31. The starting event includes initializing the capture device 2 and image storage 6 to erase any previously captured scene data. Next a new scene is captured in block 9 using the position information supplied by the customer to trigger recording of the images. The image data along with position and time information necessary to identify the location and time of the current scene is stored in order to facilitate comparison with the same scene taken at other times. Image and other data are stored in a scene database 10 in order to perform such comparisons at a future time. Image analysis 11 is next performed in order to identify changes in the scene and facilitate identification of material failures in the man-made structures that appear in the scene. The latest scene image is compared with image data that has been previously stored in the scene database 10. If a material failure is not detected the

process stops. Detection of a material failure may initiate further image analysis 12 as required by a customer 13. The identification process finishes with the results of the analysis communicated to the customer 13. The communication make take many forms, for example a telephone contact or e-mail notification of 5 the detection of the material failure. The final step in the process is to correct the material failure.

FIG. 3 depicts the algorithm used to process image data files from a database and identifies material failures if they have occurred. Two separate data files, scene (1) 14 and scene (2) 15, are made available for comparison. Both 10 data files contain the same scene content, but they typically record images taken at different times. That is, the time between capturing the two images differs by a time Δt . Both image files or scenes undergo the process of orthorectification 16, that is, compensation for variations in position and angle at the time the scenes were recorded. This process is performed in order to allow an exact pixel by pixel 15 comparison of the elements of a scene or image. It may or may not be necessary to correct the data in each scene for differences in the illumination 17 at the time each scene was recorded. Changes in the scene are identified in block 18 are used by the control computer 31 by detecting, using software, differences in the pixel content of the two scenes to be compared. Such changes may be reflected in the 20 intensity of the pixels, or in the shape of an object, corresponding to a finite collection of pixels. Such methods for identification of pixel or object changes are well known to those skilled in the art. On the basis of such pixel changes the material failure type is identified in block 19 and the customer 13 is notified 20 of the existence of the failure.

25 FIG. 4 illustrates a somewhat different embodiment of the present invention that uses an agent to aid in detecting material failures in a man-made object. An aerial platform 21 performs image capture 22 of a man-made structure (in this case a roadway, 23) in the manner previously described. FIG. 4 also shows image capture of a roadway 23 with an isolated man-made failure 24. In 30 this instance, the detection of the man-made material failure is enhanced by the presence of a chemical or physical image contrast-enhancing agent. The contrast

agent for example, could be released to the environment as a consequence of the material failure occurring. For example, encapsulated fluorescent dyes, either as isolated molecular species or in crystalline form, embedded in a roadway could be released with crack formation. Triboluminescent materials that emit light upon mechanical impact could be sensed to determine the likelihood of material failure as a result of mechanical impact. Yellow-emitting halophosphate phosphors are well established as sensors when used in this mode of operation. In another use scenario, the contrast agent is incorporated into a man-made structure in a form where it becomes activated upon the occurrence of a material failure. For example, certain chemical species may undergo a color change upon exposure to air or other chemical species. An example is the use of corrosion-sensitive paints applied to pipelines. Color-changing chemical compounds (indicators) such as phenolphthalein or bromothymol blue, or fluorescing chemical compounds such as coumarins, can be incorporated into acrylic paints and applied to pipelines. Corrosion of the pipe causes a pH change in the paint; the consequence of which is a color change in the indicator or fluorescence. Such color changes are recorded remotely. Color-change materials exist that are sensitive to changes in pH, oxygen concentration, and the presence of trace quantities of various metal ions in the environment (chelating agents). The use of such materials is well known to those skilled in the art, and may be used singly or in combination, in remote sensing applications.

Such materials in combination with the optical filter 3 in FIG. 1 significantly improve the signal-to-noise ratio, and hence the detectability for remote sensing. The combination of the optical filter transmission function and the color-change to be detected as a result of a material failure will be optimized for each application. This optimization is well known to those skilled in the art.

FIG 5 illustrates the capturing of an image, analysis to identify a material failure and communication over a computer network to deliver information to and receive payment from the customer 13. A satellite 25 or an aerial platform 26 captures an image of a scene 27 that contains a man-made structure (in this case electrical utility lines) to be analyzed. The image data is

5 example, a computer network such as the Internet, or via other means, such as
 ' telephony. The customer computer 30 receives the notification directly from over
 the computer network. The customer 13 subscribes to the service and pays for the
 service via the computer network. In this manner, the timely delivery of
 information regarding the status of a failure can be transmitted to the customer
 10 and the quality of service can be assured to be at a sufficiently high level.

The invention has been described in detail with particular reference to certain preferred embodiments thereof, but it will be understood that variations and modifications can be effected within the spirit and scope of the invention. For example, the control computer 31 can itself be reprogrammed from a remote location and would include all the necessary communication links to permit such reprogramming.

1	sensor system
2	capture device
3	optical filter
4	capture control circuit
5	position and orientation control circuit
6	image storage
7	image processing circuit
9	block
10	scene database
11	image analysis
12	image analysis
13	customer
14	scene
15	scene
16	orthorectification
17	illumination
18	block
19	block
20	notification
21	aerial platform
22	capture
23	roadway
24	man-made failure
25	satellite
26	aerial platform
27	scene
28	ground station
29	service provider's computer system
30	customer computer
31	control computer

- | | |
|----|--|
| 1 | sensor system |
| 2 | capture device |
| 3 | optical filter |
| 4 | capture control circuit |
| 5 | position and orientation control circuit |
| 6 | image storage |
| 7 | image processing circuit |
| 9 | block |
| 10 | scene database |
| 11 | image analysis |
| 12 | image analysis |
| 13 | customer |
| 14 | scene |
| 15 | scene |
| 16 | orthorectification |
| 17 | illumination |
| 18 | block |
| 19 | block |
| 20 | notification |
| 21 | aerial platform |
| 22 | capture |
| 23 | roadway |
| 24 | man-made failure |
| 25 | satellite |
| 26 | aerial platform |
| 27 | scene |
| 28 | ground station |
| 29 | service provider's computer system |
| 30 | customer computer |
| 31 | control computer |